

DUPLICATE

PTO/SB/17 (11-01)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**FEE TRANSMITTAL
for FY 2002**

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

☒ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27**TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT** (\$) **720.00****Complete If Known**

Application Number	09/415,986
Filing Date	October 12, 1999
First Named Inventor	David H. Sitrick
Examiner Name	Mark A. Sager
Group Art Unit	3713
Attorney Docket No.	STD 1748

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)☐ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money Order ☐ Other ☐ None☒ Deposit Account:Deposit Account Number
Deposit Account Name

501166

Sitrick & Sitrick

The Commissioner is authorized to: (check all that apply)

☒ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☒ Credit any overpayments☒ Charge any additional fee(s) during the pendency of this application☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account.**FEE CALCULATION****1. BASIC FILING FEE**

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description	Fee Paid
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)		
101	740	201	370	Utility filing fee	
106	330	206	165	Design filing fee	
107	510	207	255	Plant filing fee	
108	740	208	370	Reissue filing fee	
114	160	214	80	Provisional filing fee	

SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) **0.00****2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE**

		Extra Claims		Fee from below		Fee Paid
Total Claims	<input type="text"/>	-43** =	<input type="text"/>	X	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Independent Claims	<input type="text"/>	-6** =	<input type="text"/>	X	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Multiple Dependent					<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	
103	18	203	9	Claims in excess of 20
102	84	202	42	Independent claims in excess of 3
104	280	204	140	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid
109	84	209	42	** Reissue independent claims over original patent
110	18	210	9	** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent

SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) **0.00**

**or number previously paid, if greater. For Reissues, see above

FEE CALCULATION (continued)**3. ADDITIONAL FEES**

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description	Fee Paid
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)		
105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
139	130	139	130	Non-English specification	
147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
112	920*	112	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
113	1,840*	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month	
116	400	216	200	Extension for reply within second month	
117	920	217	460	Extension for reply within third month	
118	1,440	218	720	Extension for reply within fourth month	720.00
128	1,980	228	980	Extension for reply within fifth month	
119	320	219	160	Notice of Appeal	
120	320	220	160	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
121	280	221	140	Request for oral hearing	
138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to Institute a public use proceeding	
140	110	240	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
141	1,280	241	640	Petition to revive - unintentional	
142	1,280	242	640	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
143	460	243	230	Design issue fee	
144	620	244	310	Plant issue fee	
122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
123	50	123	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a)	
126	180	126	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
681	40	681	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
146	740	246	370	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
149	740	249	370	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
179	740	279	370	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
169	900	169	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	

Other fee (specify) _____

*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) **720.00****SUBMITTED BY**

Name (Print/Type)	David H. Sitrick	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	29,349	Telephone	(847) 677-4411
Signature	<i>David H. Sitrick</i>	Date	01 FC:210	Filing Date	09.05.2002

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

#1/C
Response
w/ Sub Spec (4)
H1
2/25/02

Duplicate accepted
mail date = 7/16/02 C of mail date =
2/25/02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: David H. Sitrick

For: System and Methodology for
Integrating User Data Into a
Separate Display Presentation

Serial Number: 09/415,986

Filed: October 12, 1999

Examiner: M. Sager

Art Unit: 3713

Attorney Docket: STD 1748

Stephen Marcus
Special Program Examiner
CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this paper is
being transmitted via facsimile to:
Commissioner for Patents,
United States Patent and Trademark
Office, Washington, D.C. 20231 via
telefacsimile number (703) 872-9302
February 25, 2002.

Elise L. Corrado
Elise L. Corrado

(65 total pages transmitted)

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF
NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT (37 CFR 1.121)**

FAXED
2/25/02

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Washington D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Responsive to an Office Action mailed June 15, 2001, with a shortened three-month response period, Applicant submitted "Amendment A" for the above-referenced patent application on September 14, 2001.

This transmission is filed responsive to a Notice Of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121), mailed September 27, 2001, with a one-month or 30-day response period; hereby, extended four [4] months by petition. Applicant herewith submits, "AMENDMENT A—MISSING PARTS—CHANGES MARKED TO SPECIFICATION AS ORIGINALLY FILED."

#12

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	David H. Sitrick)	<u>Certificate of Transmission</u>
)	<u>under 37 CFR 1.8</u>
For:	System and Methodology for Integrating)	
	User Data into a Separate Display)	I hereby certify that this
	Presentation)	correspondence is being
Serial Number:	09/415,986)	facsimile transmitted to the
)	United States Patent and
Filed:	October 12, 1999)	Trademark Office on <u>July 16,</u>
)	<u>2002 to Marilyn Watts,</u>
Examiner:	M. Sager)	<u>Administrative Supervisor,</u>
)	<u>Group 3700, at 703-746-3441.</u>
Art Unit:	3713)	<i>Elise L. Corrado</i>
)	Elise L. Corrado
Attorney Docket:	STD 1748)	
)	(sixty-nine [69] total pages
)	transmitted)

RESUBMISSION OF RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT
(37 CFR 1.121)

Commissioner for Patents
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Washington D.C. 20231

Dear Sir,

Transmitted herewith are true copies of the sixty-five [65] pages previously transmitted to the U.S.P.T.O on February 25, 2002.

Elise Corrado, paralegal for the undersigned, spoke with Examiner Sager today, July 16, 2002, regarding the transmission of the aforementioned document; whereas, Examiner Sager stated that this response had not been entered into Applicant's file, and the above-referenced application had therefore been marked for abandonment.

Applicant also herewith submits a true copy of the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission received by Applicant on February 25, 2002, indicating that the aforementioned transmission had been received in its entirety—sixty-five [65] pages—on February 25, 2002 at 3:13:36 PM [Eastern Standard Time].

Additionally, Applicant also herewith submits a true copy of Applicant's Message Confirmation report generated by Applicant's facsimile machine, also confirming the transmission of the aforementioned Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment for the above-referenced application.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that this response be entered into the application for examination so as to avoid abandonment.

174832

PATENT APPLICATION
Serial Number: 09/415,986
Attorney Docket Number: STD 1748

True copies of the following are filed herewith:

- (X) Sixty-five [65] pages of the previously transmitted Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment for the above-referenced application (Response = sixty-three [63] pages; Fee Transmittal = one [1] page; duplicate Fee Transmittal = one [1] page.)
- (X) One [1] Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission received by Applicant on February 25, 2002 from the U.S.P.T.O.
- (X) One [1] Message Confirmation report generated by Applicant's facsimile machine.


STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY

I, Elise L. Corrado, am not registered to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office, and do hereby certify that all of the above-referenced documents are true copies of the originals as filed.


Elise L. Corrado


Date

Respectfully submitted,


David H. Sitrick
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 29,349

July 16, 2002

SITRICK & SITRICK
8340 N. Lincoln Ave., Suite 201
Skokie, IL 60077
Telephone Number: (847) 677-4411
Facsimile Number: (847) 677-4656

02/25/02 15:49:31

USPTO-8476774656

RightFax

Page 001

To: Auto-reply fax to 8476774656

COMPANY.

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



UNITED STATES
PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE

TO:

Fax Sender at 8476774656

Fax Information:

Date Received:

2/25/02 3:13:36 PM (Eastern Standard Time)

Total Pages:

55 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMCP) section 702.04 et seq.

Received
Cover
Page
=====>

FEB-25-2002 04:39P FROM:DAVID SITRICK 8476774656		TO:170374634411909 P:3	
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE			
Applicant:	David H. Sitrack)	CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE
For:	System and Methodology for Integrating User Data Into a Separate Display Presentation)	I hereby certify that this page is being transmitted via facsimile to
Serial Number:	09465556)	Commissioner for Patents,
Filed:	October 12, 1999)	United States Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, D.C. 20231 via
Examiner:	M. Sager)	telefacsimile number (703) 872-0302
Art Unit:	5713)	February 25, 2002.
Attorney Docket:	SID 1748)	<i>Elisa L. Conrad</i>
)	(65 total pages transmitted)
<u>RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT (37 CFR 1.121)</u> Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office Washington D.C. 20231			
Dear Sir: Responsive to an Office Action mailed June 15, 2001, with a shortened three-month response period, Applicant submitted "Amendment A" for the above-referenced patent application on September 14, 2001. This transmission is filed responsive to a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121), mailed September 27, 2001, with a one-month or 30-day response period; hereby, Applicant herewith submits "AMENDMENT A—MISSING PARTS—CHANGES MARKED TO SPECIFICATION AS ORIGINALLY FILED."			
<small>2002 Protected By Law. No. 1. Informational Only. Not for Distribution. Printed on: 02/25/02 04:39P FROM:DAVID SITRICK 8476774656 TO:170374634411909 P:3</small>			

MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

DATE : FEB-25-2002 MON 02:45 PM
NAME : DAVID SITRICK
TEL. : 8476774656

PHONE	:	170387293021209
PAGES	:	65
START TIME	:	02-25 02:16PM
ELAPSED TIME	:	28'24"
MODE	:	ECM
RESULTS	:	OK